

1 E-filed on 1/3/07

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION

11  
12 IN RE CYGNUS TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
13 TECHNOLOGY, LLC, PATENT  
14 LITIGATION

15 THIS ORDER RELATES TO:  
16 All Actions

No. MDL-1423  
This Order Applies to All Actions

C-02-00142 RMW  
C-02-00145 RMW  
C-02-05437 RMW  
C-03-03378 RMW  
C-03-03594 RMW  
C-03-03596 RMW  
C-03-04003 RMW  
C-04-03001 RMW  
C-04-03365 RMW  
C-04-04247 RMW  
C-04-04359 RMW  
C-06-03843 RMW  
C-06-04295 RMW  
C-06-06479 RMW

21 ORDER DEEMING MOTIONS SUBMITTED  
22 ON THE PAPERS & TEMPORARILY  
BARRING FURTHER FILING OF MOTIONS

23  
24 The court held a hearing on claim construction and several related motions for summary  
25 judgment on November 21, 2006 and has not yet issued a ruling. On December 1, 2006, plaintiff  
26 Cygnus Telecommunications Technology, LLC filed what it styled a revised motion for summary  
27 judgment against defendant United World Telecom, L.C. ("UWT"). UWT and defendant AT&T  
28 Corp. filed separate responses, asking the court to strike Cygnus's latest filing. AT&T asked, in the

ORDER DEEMING MOTIONS SUBMITTED ON THE PAPERS & TEMPORARILY BARRING FURTHER FILING OF  
MOTIONS—MDL-1423

JAH

1 alternative, for leave to file a response, arguing that Cygnus's motion, although ostensibly directed  
2 against only UWT, in reality covered issues common to all defendants.

3 Cygnus's revised motion and the defendants' counter-motions are all deemed submitted on  
4 the papers. No hearing will be held. No further filings will be accepted in connection with these  
5 motions.

6 In fact, until the court resolves all pending issues related to claim construction, validity of the  
7 patents-in-suit, and infringement liability of individual defendants, no party should file any further  
8 substantive motions. Permissible filings would be things like a notice of PTO action,<sup>1</sup> notice of a  
9 relevant recent decision, scheduling matters involving recently-served defendants,<sup>2</sup> or stipulations  
10 regarding settlement.

11

12

13 DATED: 1/3/07

*Ronald M Whyte*  
RONALD M. WHYTE  
United States District Judge

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

---

25 <sup>1</sup> The court is aware that on December 19, 2006, the PTO issued a final rejection of claims 6  
26 through 11 in the reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,035,027.

27 <sup>2</sup> On September 20, 2006, the court instructed Cygnus to show cause by December 20, 2006 why  
any defendant in case no. C-06-04295 remained unserved, but the docket for that case shows no  
case-specific activity. Similarly, the court expected a joint scheduling proposal from the parties in  
28 case no. C-06-06479 some time ago but has not yet received one.

1   **A copy of this order was mailed on \_\_\_\_\_ to:**

2   **Counsel for Plaintiff:**

3   John P. Sutton  
2421 Pierce Street  
4   San Francisco, CA 94115-1131

Kieran Patrick Fallon  
436 SW 8th Street  
Miami, FL 33130-2814

5   **Counsel for Defendants:**

6   Alan M. Weisberg, Steven M. Greenberg  
Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.  
7   200 E. Las Olas Avenue, Suite 2040  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Matthew Francis McGahren  
Baum & McGahren  
6171 Crooked Creek Road  
Norcross, GA 30092

8   John C. Carey  
9   Rodriguez Greenberg & Paul  
1395 Brickell Ave, Suite 700  
10   Miami, FL 33131

Lori D. Greendorfer, Maxim H. Waldbaum  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
623 Fifth Avenue, 28th Floor  
New York, NY 10022

11   Felice B. Galant, Gregory B. Wood  
Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.  
12   865 South Figueroa Street  
Twenty-Ninth Floor  
13   Los Angeles, CA 90017

Thomas T. Tamlyn  
Yeskoo Hogan & Tamlyn, LLP  
535 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10017

14   Joseph P. Zammit  
Fulbright & Jaworski  
15   666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103

Peter S. Canelias  
Law Offices of Peter S. Canelias  
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2148  
New York, NY 10170

16   John F. Mardula, Jon L. Roberts  
Roberts Mardula & Wertheim, LLC  
17   11800 Sunrise Valley Drive, Suite 1000  
Reston, VA 20191-5302

Elizabeth E. Green, R. Scott Shuker  
Gronek & Latham, LLP  
390 N. Orange Avenue, Suite 600  
Orlando, FL 32801

19   Richard B. Sheldon  
Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP  
20   11377 West Olympic Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90064-1683

Gregory J. Nelson  
Nelson & Roediger  
3333 E Camelback Road, Suite 212  
Phoenix, AZ 85018

21   Jeffrey L. Silvestrini, Brian F. Roberts  
Cohne Rappaport & Segal  
22   P.O. Box 11008  
Salt Lake City, UT 84147-0008

Peter Neil Greenfeld  
Greenfeld Law Group  
3333 E Camelback Road, Suite 212  
Phoenix, AZ 85018-2324

24   Raymond J. Etcheverry  
Parsons, Behle & Latimer  
25   One Utah Center  
201 South Main Street,  
26   Suite 1800, Post Office Box 45898  
Salt Lake City, UT 84145

Matthew McGahren  
Baum, McGahren & Chiu, LLC  
6171 Crooked Creek Road  
Norcross, GA 30092

**Courtesy Copy:**

Clerk of the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation  
Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building  
One Columbus Circle, N.E.  
Room G-255, North Lobby  
Washington, DC 20002-8004

28   Counsel is responsible for distributing copies of this order to co-counsel, as necessary.

ORDER DEEMING MOTIONS SUBMITTED ON THE PAPERS & TEMPORARILY BARRING FURTHER FILING OF  
MOTIONS—MDL-1423

JAH